

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343629530>

EFFECT OF WORK ENVIRONMENT AND JOB SATISFACTION ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN PT. NESINAK INDUSTRIES

Article · August 2020

CITATIONS

135

READS

13,345

2 authors:



Yuan Badrianto

Universitas Pelita Bangsa

15 PUBLICATIONS 268 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Muhamad Ekhsan

Universitas Pelita Bangsa

52 PUBLICATIONS 1,204 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

EFFECT OF WORK ENVIRONMENT AND JOB SATISFACTION ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN PT. NESINAK INDUSTRIES

Yuan Badrianto* & Muhamad Ekhsan

*yuan.badrianto@pelitabangsa.ac.id

Department of Management, Pelita Bangsa University

Keywords : Work Environment, Job Satisfaction, Employee Performance.

Abstract : Improving employee performance is one of the main factors for companies to achieve goals. In addition to being a factor in achieving goals, employee performance is also a way to measure the quality of human resources in a company. Some factors that can influence the success of employee performance include the work environment and job satisfaction. The purpose of this study is to find out whether there is an influence of the work environment and job satisfaction variables on employee performance. This study is to examine the effect of work environment and job satisfaction on employee performance at PT. Nesinak Industries. The company is engaged in manufacturing automotive and electronic spare parts made from rubber. The sample in this study amounted to 88 respondents who worked in the production department. This research uses quantitative methods. Data for conducting this research was obtained through filling out questionnaires by predetermined respondents. Data analysis method used is multiple linear regression analysis method. Based on the results of the t test (partial) and f test (simultaneous) of this study, showed that the variable work environment and job satisfaction bring a positive and significant effect on employee performance partially and simultaneously.

1 INTRODUCTION

Management is the science and art of regulating the process of utilizing human resources and other resources effectively and efficiently to achieve a certain goal. HR Management is a field of management that specifically studies the relationship and role of humans in organizations. The element of HR management is human beings who are the workforce in the organization. Humans always play an active and dominant role in every activity of the organization, because humans become planners, actors, and determinants of the realization of organizational goals. This goal would not have been possible without the active role of the employees, no matter how sophisticated the tools of the organization. (Donni, 2014). PT. Nesinak Industries is a manufacturing company originating from Japan, a subsidiary of the Japanese group Gomunoinaki. PT Nesinak Industries manufactures automotive and electronic spare parts made from rubber. PT Nesinak Industries was established in Indonesia in 1997. It is located on Jalan Akasia 3 block A3 no.08, delta silicone 01 Bekasi, West Java. PT Nesinak Industries continues to grow from year to year, and received many awards from well-known corporate customers such as Epson, Asmo, Denso etc., for the quality of the products produced and manufactured by PT Nesinak Industries. This year, PT Nesinak Industries has 740 employees.

The products produced by PT Nesinak Industries have reached hundreds of models and types of products, from many customers as well. This achievement is certainly achieved by hard work, commitment, discipline and good management, so that PT Nesinak Industries is one of the high-quality manufacturing companies. The amount of production produced by a company must be supported by a company environment that is sufficient to accommodate production which is increasingly growing, because the place and environment that cannot accommodate the amount of production, will affect employee job satisfaction and can affect the performance of these employees, even the quality and performance of employees will decline. This is what happened at PT Nesinak Industries, from year to year the production produced is increasing, but not supported by an adequate

environment to accommodate a lot of production, so that many employees complain about the situation, because they do not get job satisfaction and it affects the quality of employee performance.

The first factor affecting performance is the work environment. According to Nitisemito (2000: 183), "the work environment is all that exists around workers and can influence it in carrying out work that has been entrusted". The work environment according to Sedarmayantira (2001: 1), is "all things that exist in the environment of workers both physical and psychological, in which a person works either as an individual or as a group. The opinions expressed above can be used to draw conclusions about the work environment. Work environment is everything that exists around workers both inside and outside the room including those that are physical or not that affect employees in carrying out the tasks they carry. (Mohammad Kamif et al, 2016). The work environment is a place where employees do activities every day. A conducive work environment provides a sense of security and allows employees to work optimally. The work environment can affect employees' emotions. If the employee likes the work environment in which he / she works, then the employee will feel comfortable at work, doing his activities so that working time is used effectively. Productivity will be high and automatic employee performance is also high (Anggi, 2015).

The second factor that affects employee performance is job satisfaction. Roe and Davis (2008), said that high job satisfaction will encourage the realization of organizational goals effectively. While a low level of job satisfaction is a threat that will bring destruction or setback to the organization quickly or slowly. Robbins (2006) states that job satisfaction is an individual's general attitude towards his work. (Donni, 2016). Gibson, Ivancevich, and Donnely (2010), stated that job satisfaction is someone's attitude towards their service, that attitude comes from their perception of their work. George and Jones (2007), stated that job satisfaction is a collection of feelings, beliefs, and thoughts about how someone responds to their work. (Donni, 2016).

PT Nesinak Industries creates a comfortable and conducive working environment among all employees and does not neglect cleanliness and health aspects. create job descriptions that are easily understood and done by employees, so employees feel satisfied working in the company. PT. Nesinak Industries also provides training in stages in each department with the 5R concept (concise, neat, clean, caring and diligent), so that employees feel comfortable with their work environment so that employee job satisfaction can be achieved, this is very effective to improve employee performance so can achieve the target according to company expectations. The factors that influence employee performance have been proven empirically and theoretically. Theoretically it can be seen from several research results related to employee performance. For example, research conducted by Anggi Pratama (2015) states that the work environment has a great influence on employee performance. Titik Rosita and Tri Yuniati (2016) stated that employee performance will get better if job satisfaction is getting better. This research will discuss the effect of work environment and job satisfaction on employee performance at PT. Nesinak Industries.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Work Environment

The work environment is a place where employees do activities every day. A conducive work environment provides a sense of security and allows employees to work optimally. The work environment can affect employees' emotions. If the employee likes the work environment in which he / she works, then the employee will feel comfortable at work, doing his activities so that working time is used effectively. Productivity will be high and automatically employee performance is also high. (Anggi, 2015).

Work environment indicators according to (Nitisemito, 2000: 127) cited in the 2015 Anggi journal, are as follows:

- lighting
- Air temperature
- noise
- Decoration / layout
- Employee relations

Job Satisfaction

Robbins (2006), job satisfaction as an individual's general attitude towards his job. Gibson, Ivancevich, and Donnely (2010), job satisfaction is someone's attitude towards their service, that attitude comes from their

perception of their work. George and Jones (2007), job satisfaction is a collection of feelings, beliefs, and thoughts about how a person responds to his work. (Donni, 2016). Job satisfaction is a collection of employees' feelings towards their work, whether they like / dislike / dislike / dislike as a result of employee interactions with the work environment or as a perception of mental attitude, as well as the results of employee evaluations of their work. Employees' feelings about work reflect their attitudes and behavior at work. (Donni, 2016). Indicators of job satisfaction according to Malthis (2008) cited in the journal Titik Rosita and Tri Yuniati 2016, are as follows:

- Enjoys the work
- Loves the work
- Work morale
- Discipline
- Work performance

Employee Performance

Mathis and Jackson (2001), performance is basically what employees do or don't do in carrying out their work. Rivai and Sagala (2009), performance is a real behavior that is displayed by everyone as a work achievement produced by employees in accordance with their role in the organization. (Donni, 2016). Benardin and Russel (2000), performance is the result of being produced by certain job functions over a certain period of time. the results of the work are the results of women's abilities, expertise, and desires that are achieved. (Donni, 2016). Performance evaluation indicators according to Mondy, Noe, Premeaux (1999). (Donni, 2016).

- Job quantity
- Quality of work
- Independence
- initiative
- Adaptability
- Cooperation

Framework




Figure 1. Research Framework

Source: Researcher data, 2018.

1. H1: The work environment has a positive and significant effect on employee performance.
2. H2: Employee job satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on employee performance
3. H3: The work environment and job satisfaction have a positive and significant effect on employee performance

3 METHODOLOGY

This research uses a quantitative approach, quantitative approach is used to measure the effect between the variables tested both directly and indirectly. with this approach it is hoped that efforts to understand employee performance and the factors that influence it can be carried out comprehensively, thus this research is also a causal research (cause and effect). This research was conducted on the employees of PT. Nesinak Industries. The place of this research is PT Nesinak Industries, located at Jl. Akasia 3 block A3 no.08 (Delta Silicone 01), Bekasi,

West Java, Indonesia. The time will take place in this study for 5 months, from April 2018 - August 2018. The data analysis method used is multiple linear regression analysis method.

4 FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Validity and Reliability Test

All items in each variable Correct Item-Total Correlation values are above the value of r table that is greater than 0.2096, thus it is stated that all statement items in the questionnaire are declared valid, so that they can be used in research. Cronbach Alpha values for variables X1, Variables X2 and Y are above 0,700, which means that they are very reliable. Thus the data can be used for research.

Classic assumption Test

The classic assumption test aims to test whether in the regression model, the independent variable and the dependent variable both have normal data distribution or not.

Normality Test




Figure 2. P-Plot

Source: SPSS Output, 2018.

Testing the normality graphically produces a Normal P-P plot of the residual regression data that can be seen in the figure. The graph shows that the distribution of residual value points on the Normal P-P plot plot spreads around and follows the direction of the diagonal line. This shows that the variable data used for the multiple regression analysis came from normally distributed data.

Multicollinearity Test

Table 1. Multicollinearity Test

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	4,764	1,860	2,561	,012		

Work Environemnt	,586	,111	,560	5,270	,00	,173	5,784
Job Satisfaction	,423	,120	,373	3,513	,00	,173	5,784

Source: SPSS Output, 2018.

In the multicollinearity test table obtained each independent variable has a value of Tolerance = 0.173 and VIF 5.784. Because the Tolerance value is greater than the minimum requirement ($0.173 > 0.10$) and the VIF value is lower than the maximum requirement ($5.784 < 10$), it can be concluded that the multiple linear regression analysis does not have multicollinearity problems (the model developed is appropriate).

Heteroscedasticity Test




Figure 3. Scatterplot

Source: SPSS Output, 2018.

The scatter plot graph shows that the points calculated (the intersection between the residual and predictive values) are relatively randomly spread above and below the origin and do not form certain patterns. It can be said that the multiple regression analysis of X1 and X2 against Y is really linear because it does not have a heteroscedasticity problem so that the multiple linear regression analysis can be continued.

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Table 2. Coefficients

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	4,764	1,860		2,561	,012
Work Environemnt	,586	,111	,560	5,270	,000
Job Satisfaction	,423	,120	,373	3,513	,001

Source: SPSS Output, 2018.

From the table above, a double linear regression equation model can be arranged based on column B. The multiple linear regression equation model results of the study are as follows:

$$\hat{Y} = 4.765 + 0.586X_1 + 0.423X_2$$

The multiple linear regression equation model results of the analysis can be interpreted as follows:

- A constant value of $a = 4,765$, meaning that if X1 and X2 rise or are positive, then Y will rise or be fulfilled.

- Regression coefficient X1 of $b_1 = 0.586$ indicates that X1 has an effect on Y in a positive direction.
- Regression coefficient X2 of $b_2 = 0.423$ indicates that X2 influences Y in a positive direction.

Table 3. Simultaneous Test Results

ANOVA ^a					
Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	1422,633	2	711,316	213,404	,000 ^b
Residual	283,322	85	3,333		
Total	1705,955	87			

Source: SPSS Output, 2018.

Discussion

Effect of Work Environment on Employee Performance

The first hypothesis that presented a positive and significant effect between the work environment on employee performance was 58.6%. Based on the partial test results for the work environment variables obtained $t_{count} = 5.270$ with a significance value of 0.000 with a probability of less than 0.05 and t_{count} smaller than t_{table} . This shows that partially the work environment variables, that there is an influence of the work environment on employee performance.

Effect of Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance

The second hypothesis which presents a positive and significant effect on job satisfaction on employee performance by 42.3%. Based on the partial test results for the variable work satisfaction obtained $t_{count} = 3.513$ with a significant value of 0.001 with a significant probability far less than 0.05 and t_{count} greater than t_{table} then. This shows that partially job satisfaction variables, that there is an influence of job satisfaction variables on employee performance.

Effect of Work Environment and Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance

The third hypothesis that presents the influence of work environment and job satisfaction together on employee performance. This means that the work environment and job satisfaction together affect employee performance. Based on the results of simultaneous tests can be seen in the value of the F test and significant. Because the significant probability is much smaller than the value of 0.05 and the value of $F_{count} = 213.404$ is greater than $F_{table} = 4.02$. This shows that simultaneously which states that there is a joint influence between work environment variables and job satisfaction on employee performance.

5 CONCLUSION

From the conclusions of the hypothesis testers that have been done, the results of the analysis of the factors affecting employee performance indicate that work environment factors have a positive relationship with employee performance. This shows that the work environment factors significantly influence employee performance, meaning that in this case the work environment for employee performance needs to be improved to improve employee performance. Based on the analysis of the factors that affect employee performance shows that job satisfaction factors have a positive relationship with employee performance. This shows that job satisfaction factors significantly influence employee performance, meaning that in this case job satisfaction is important in improving employee performance. The results of the analysis of the factors that affect employee performance appear that together (simultaneously) the two variables namely the work environment variables and job satisfaction analyzed affect employee performance. This shows that simultaneous work environment and job satisfaction significantly influence employee performance.

REFERENCES

- Anggi Pratama, tahun 2015 tentang “*pengaruh lingkungan kerja terhadap kinerja pegawai*”. Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Bisnis vol.03 no.02
- Audrey Josephine dan Dhyah Harjanti, tahun 2017 tentang “*Pengaruh Lingkungan kerja terhadap Kinerja Karyawan pada bagian produksi melalui motivasi kerja sebagai variabel intervening*”. Jurnal Agora Vol. 5, No.3
- Donni Juni Priansa, S.Pd., S.E., S.S., M.M., QWPR, MOS. Tahun 2016. “*Perencanaan dan Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia*”.
- Dr. A.A. Anwar Prabu Mangkunegara, Drs.,M.Si.,Psi. Tahun 2017. “*Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*”.
- Dr. A.A. Anwar Prabu Mangkunegara, Msi. Tahun 2017. “*Evaluasi Kinerja SDM*”.
- Duwi Priyatno, SE. SPSS Handbook, MediaKom, 2016.
- Ghozali, I. 2013, *Aplikasi multivariate dengan program IBM SPSS 21*. Semarang: Badan penerbit universitas diponegoro.
- Ismiyanto dan Bambang Riyadi, tahun 2014 tentang “*Pengaruh Prilaku Pimpinan, Kepuasan Kerja, Lingkungan Kerja dan Kemampuan Kerja terhadap Kinerja Karyawan*”. Jurnal media Ekonomi dan Manajemen vol. 29 no.02 2014. ISSN: 085-1442.
- Muhammad Kamif, Mochammad Djudi Mukzam dan Gunawan Eko Nurtjahjono, tahun 2016 tentang “*pengaruh lingkungan kerja terhadap kinerja*”. Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis vol.38 no.02
- Nadiya Lifa Ningrum, Arik Prasetya, Muhammad Faisal Riza, tahun 2014 tentang “*pengaruh lingkungan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan*”. Jurnal administrasi bisnis vol.11 no.01
- Nela Pima Rahmawanti, Bambang Swanto, Arik Prasetya, tahun 2014 tentang “*pengaruh lingkungan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan*”. Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis vol.8 no.02
- Nurul ikhsan sahlani, Peggy A Mekel, Irvan Trang tahun 2015. *Pengaruh Lingkungan Kerja, Kepuasan Kerja Dan Kompensasi Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan*. Jurnal EMBA vol.3 no.1.
- Prof. DR. Hj. Sedarmayanti, M.Pd., APU. Tahun 2011. “*Tata Kerja dan Produktivitas Kerja*”.
- Teddy Chandra, Priyono, tahun 2017 tentang “*The Influence of Leadership Styles, Work Environment and Job Satisfaction of Employee Performance*”. International Education Studies; Vol. 9, No. 1; 2016. doi:10.5539/ies.v9n1p131.
- Thushel Jayaweera1, tahun 2015 tentang “*Impact of Work Environmental Factors on Job Performance, Mediating Role of Work Motivation*”. International Journal of Business and Management; Vol. 10, No. 3; 2015 doi:10.5539/ijbm.v10n3p271
- Tjong Fei Lie dan Dr. Ir. Hotlan Siagian, M.Sc, tahun 2018 tentang “*pengaruh kepuasan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan melalui motivasi kerja*”. Jurnal Agora vol.6 no.01
- Titik Rosita Dan Tri Yuniati tahun 2016 tentang “*Pengaruh kepuasan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan dengan komitmen organisasional sebagai variabel intervening*”. Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen vol.05 no.01